

Growth and Capital Needs Committee
April 12, 2016
Meeting Notes

I. Dinner and Processing Presentations from 3/8/16:

Karin and Tom welcomed all.

II. Parking lot questions and comments:

Karin addressed the following parking lot question.

- If we move all of the alternative programs out of EAC, what will go there?
 - Currently, the Home School Academy and Academy Online HS are using space in the EAC. The AOHS uses two offices and a small (10x10) foyer to operate the program. The Home School Academy currently uses three classrooms and two offices. If they were to vacate, the space could be repurposed for additional pre-school space, meeting rooms, interview rooms, office space, and storage space.

- Why/How does Timberview support Elem lunches?
 - All middle schools and high schools include “base” kitchens. “Base” kitchens are equipped and sized to prepare food not only for the schools in which it is housed, but also several elementary schools. After preparation in the base kitchen, food is transported to elementary schools across the district. Elementary school kitchens have limited equipment and space for food preparation (“prep” kitchens).

- Can we have copies of Don Smith’s presentation?
 - Don Smith’s presentation will be posted to the committee website.

- A member asked how many security cameras are in place at schools. There are 585 total, breakdown by site as follows:

AAHS	51	EMS	24	RCE	12
AES	15	EWE	8	RHS	45
AIE	9	FES	11	RRES	10
ATE	9	FHE	10	SITW	0
AVHS	6	HPE	11	SMSP	4
CMS	22	LHS	54	Stadium	1
CTE	16	MRMS	19	TdVA	10
DCC	82	MVE	8	TMS	20
DVE	8	PCHS	52	TRANS	5
EAC	16	PES	12	WRE	10
EES	11	PHE	10	WHSE	

III. **April Field Trip Assignments**

Karin reminded all of the plans for the Saturday, April 23 school/site tours, and she asked members to let her know if they would not be able to attend. Karin also pointed out the homework assignments on the agenda and the remaining meeting dates.

IV. **SSIC Presentations**

The following schools provided presentations at this meeting, and their presentations are posted on the website.

Discovery Canyon ES presentation

- Presentation posted on GCNC website.

Discovery Canyon MS presentation

- Presentation posted on GCNC website.

Discovery Canyon HS Presentation

- Presentation posted on GCNC website. .

Air Academy HS presentation

- Presentation posted on GCNC website.

Liberty HS presentation

- Presentation posted on GCNC website.

Pine Creek HS presentation

- Presentation posted on GCNC website.

Rampart HS presentation

- Presentation posted on GCNC website.

V. **Review the 2001 and 2006 committee recommendations**

Tom shared a handout showing three options for formulas. He explained the differences between the options and the options used by the previous, 2001, committee.

Option A is the one used by the last committee, and it is based on enrollment and the age of the building.

Option B is based on size of the building and the age of the buildings

Option C takes into consideration both options A and B

Tom said the GCNC may think of another option. He explained the age factor used by the previous committee. He explained the data shown uses the original construction date for the schools, not the date of remodels or additions. The school board will determine the dollar amounts. Some of the requests may be able to be funded through this, i.e. bathrooms, carpet, and minor electrical needs. This is a one-time computation, can be 'catch up' money.

Questions/Comments:

- A member remarked that every older school is getting into such a deep hole. It seems we need to make sure the bottom number is adequate to get the school

back to where it should be. Karin said this could be a statement made in the recommendations the GCNC makes to the superintendent.

- A member said the beauty of this is it provides a second bite of the apple to hit some of the critical needs like those of AAHS.
- One member shared that his initial gut is that Option C is the best way to go.
 - Tom said the five buckets are not accidental; we are trying to balance needs since every school has them. Also, the needs of new development creating increased enrollment must be addressed. The deferred maintenance is another piece, technology is another. The structure provides dollars in each area.
- What about the other programs and schools such as the online, the expulsion school, etc.?
 - They don't have square footage so there is no way to allocate. This is for bucket A, per pupil. The new Learning and Innovation Center could be a new construction bucket, the School in the Woods new building could be in the remodel and addition bucket. A recommendation around this will be looked at tonight, the 2001 recommendation was a paragraph and a half.

Remodels/addition handout: Tom shared that this is just his best attempt; he did include the high school requests. Tom put the larger projects that fit under remodels/additions; he got the number down to 120 from over 300. The ones highlighted in green are the ones that fit better in this category. Tom is just trying to save members time; he requested that members email him if they see something with which they don't agree. Project 10 is for all school sites, so each school requesting artificial turf would not be listed out separately.

VI. Formula considerations for per pupil capital needs (Bucket A)

Tom explained the forced matrix process to be used during the field trip. Tom will provide a forced decision matrix to each person for the specific school they will visit before the Saturday field trips. This will help prioritize the Bucket B items. Each person will complete their own forced matrix, to be turned in to Tom and Karin by noon on Monday, April 25th so the detail is ready for the meeting on the 26th. Karin said they will provide an electronic version of the forced matrix to all. Next step is prioritizing the "winners."

Tom suggested that it may get to a point where the Board may realize some savings from projects once they begin; they could then pull the GCNC prioritized list and fund some of the remaining prioritized projects.

Item 3 on the homework is to get at new construction needs.

Next time we meet, on Saturday, April 23rd, please make sure on that whoever is leading your group knows if you plan to join or leave the tours late or early. Meet at the transportation center at 7:40 a.m., bring lunch, we will have water and snacks.

A member pointed out that it will be important to factor in the effect the new construction would have on remodels. Tom said we'd discuss this further, so more to come on this one.

VII. Homework: Discussion during this meeting will be provided to you electronically for completion and submission to Karin and Tom electronically by noon on Monday, April 25.

- Given today's sample per pupil funding formulas shared by Tom, which formula would you recommend or with what modifications?
- Consider the document regarding remodels and additions (Bucket B) that we discussed today. Based on that, you will receive a forced matrix for the schools you will visit on April 23 so that you can complete it and send it back electronically to Karin and Tom by noon on Monday, 4/25.
- Review growth data, developer information, residential analysis, and other future needs discussions we have had, and determine what you feel the new construction needs may be. Come prepared with your recommendation about new construction for discussion at our April 26th meeting.

Adjourned at 8:30 p.m.

Remaining Meetings: Saturday, April 23rd, 8:00 – 3:00 School Tours
Tuesday, April 26th, 5:30 – 8:30 at RHS Tech Wing
Monday, May 2nd, 5:00 – 6:00 public forum at the EAC
Tuesday, May 10th, 5:30 – 8:30 at RHS Tech Wing

GCNC documents are available at <http://www.asd20.org/committees/gcnc/Pages/default.aspx>

Attendance: Kathy Armacost, Ralph Braden, Megan Chura, Gary Coulter, Kelly Goyden, Cindy Hardin, Vernita Hare, Francine Henderson, Ryan Henkel, Tammie Mohr, Dan Olson, McKenzie Palmer, Henry Reitwiesner, Tony Scott, Anthony Sibley, Robin Stanforth, Jason Stejskal, Vicki Taylor, Will Temby, David Tubb, Mark VanGambleare, Jackie Walls, Stephen Zamborelli

Absent: Kim Hollm, Matt Pacione, Vish Paradkar, Jackie Priessman, Ruth Schoen, Patrick Schumaker

Ad Hoc Members Present: Nanette Anderson, Dr. Susan Field, Brian Grady, Tom Gregory, Anne Krajcovic, Dr. David Peak, Karin Reynolds, Greg Stephens, Linda Warhoe

Absent: Mark Bissell, Shelley Kooser